Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 2715, and title 16, California Code of Regulations section 1409.1 is required to be reported and maintained with the Board, which was and is: 52110 Avenida Obregon, La Quinta, CA 92253.

- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
  - 5. The aforementioned documents were not returned by the U.S. Postal Service.
  - 6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
  - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 2011-276.
  - 8. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
  - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
- 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 2011-276, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 2011-276, are separately and severally true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.

DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER

# **ORDER** IT IS SO ORDERED that Registered Nurse License No. 416659, heretofore issued to Respondent Kim R. Hansen aka Kim Rachel Hansen, is revoked. Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute. This Decision shall become effective on It is so ORDERED Wecenker 22, 2010. Hennine K. Lewes FOR THE BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 70371329.DOC DOJ Matter ID:SD2010702369 Attachment: Exhibit A: Accusation

Exhibit A

Accusation

	EDMUND G. Brown Jr.
	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER
	Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3	NICOLE R. COOK Deputy Attorney General
4	State Bar No. 263607 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100
5	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2143 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061
8	Attorneys for Complainant
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	Case No. 2011-276
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:
13	KIM R. HANSEN AKA KIM RACHEL HANSEN A C C U S A T I O N
14	52110 Avenida Obregon La Quinta, CA 92253
15	
16	Registered Nurse License No. 416659
17	Respondent.
18	
19	Complainant alleges:
	PARTIES .
20	
21	1. Louise R. Bailey, M.Ed., RN (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her
22	official capacity as the Interim Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing, Department
23	of Consumer Affairs.
24	2. On or about August 31, 1987, the Board of Registered Nursing issued Registered
25	Nurse License Number 416659 to Kim R. Hansen, also known as Kim Rachel Hansen
26	(Respondent). The Registered Nurse License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to
27	the charges brought herein and will expire on July 31, 2011, unless renewed.
28	
20	

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Registered Nursing (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 2750 of the Business and Professions Code ("Code") provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may discipline any licensee, including a licensee holding a temporary or an inactive license, for any reason provided in Article 3 (commencing with section 2750) of the Nursing Practice Act.
- 5. Section 2764 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee or to render a decision imposing discipline on the license.
  - 6. Section 2811(b) of the Code states:

Each such license not renewed in accordance with this section shall expire but may within a period of eight years thereafter be reinstated upon payment of the biennial renewal fee and penalty fee required by this chapter and upon submission of such proof of the applicant's qualifications as may be required by the board, except that during such eight-year period no examination shall be required as a condition for the reinstatement of any such expired license which has lapsed solely by reason of nonpayment of the renewal fee. After the expiration of such eight-year period the board may require as a condition of reinstatement that the applicant pass such examination as it deems necessary to determine his present fitness to resume the practice of professional nursing.

# STATUTORY PROVISIONS

7. Section 482 of the Code states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

8. Section 2761 of the Code states:

The board may take disciplinary action against a certified or licensed nurse or deny an application for a certificate or license for any of the following:

- (a) Unprofessional conduct, which includes, but is not limited to, the following:
- 9. Section 2762 of the Code states:

In addition to other acts constituting unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this chapter [the Nursing Practice Act], it is unprofessional conduct for a person licensed under this chapter to do any of the following:

(b) Use any controlled substance as defined in Division 10 (commending with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety Code, or any dangerous drug or dangerous device as defined in Section 4022, or alcoholic beverages, to an extent or in a manner dangerous or injurious to himself or herself, any other person, or the public or to the extent that such use impairs his or her ability to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by his or her license.

#### COST RECOVERY

10. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

### FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

11. Respondent self-referred herself to the Board of Registered Nursing's MAXIMUS Diversion Program. Respondent enrolled in MAXIMUS on June 24, 2009. During her intake assessment at MAXIMUS, Respondent admitted that she began using alcohol when she was 21 years old and her use of alcohol continued to escalate thereafter. Respondent admitted to drinking 1 to 2 bottles of wine per day. Respondent admitted that she was an alcoholic and that she drank alcohol on a regular basis over the last fourteen years. Respondent stated that in 1999, when her drinking became out of control, she entered a treatment facility in Newport Beach. However, she stated that she "got very little out of this treatment." Respondent stated that over time, she continued to drink 1 bottle of wine per day and that her consumption of alcohol had

gradually increased. Respondent admitted that after suffering high stress situations, her drinking became more excessive and that she consumed about 2 bottles of wine per day. Respondent stated that she tried to stop drinking alcohol on her own, however, she suffered a seizure from withdrawal. After the seizure, Respondent decided to seek "real treatment" and entered the Betty Ford Clinic on May 24, 2009.

- 12. By enrolling in MAXIMUS, Respondent agreed to abstain from the use of alcohol and all other mind-altering drugs, agreed not to return to work until authorized to do so, agreed to submit copies of prescriptions for all prescription medications she was currently taking, agreed to continue with her in-patient Treatment Program at Betty Ford Clinic; agreed to submit a detailed Clinical Assessment and Discharge Summary to MAXIMUS, agreed to attend one 12-step meeting per day upon discharge from Betty Ford, agreed to obtain a sponsor, agreed to attend NSG meetings, agreed to contact CCM/CM weekly once discharged from the Betty Ford Clinic until her DEC Review, agreed to sign a release of information for MAXIMUS and agreed to submit to urine tests to monitor drug and alcohol usage, among other terms.
- 13. On December 10, 2009, Respondent was terminated from MAXIMUS for non-compliance and deemed a public risk. Respondent failed to appear at her DEC meeting on December 10, 2009, failed to sign a release to MAXIMUS for her information at the Betty Ford Clinic, failed to appear or call to cancel her scheduled doctor's appointment on December 10, 2009, and could not be contacted by MAXIMUS staff. Respondent also failed to register with FirstLab, the contract company that performs the drug testing, and failed to send in any reports regarding her program requirements.
- 14. On June 22, 2010, a Board investigator was assigned the matter. Despite numerous efforts, the investigator was unsuccessful in her attempts to contact Respondent for an interview.

### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

-(Unprofessional-Conduct)

15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2762(a)(1) of the Code in that Respondent exhibited unprofessional conduct, as set forth in paragraphs 11 through 14,

1	above, which are incorporated herein by reference, for failure to comply with the Board's
2	diversion program as she agreed to do.
3	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
4	(Use of Alcohol in a Manner Dangerous to Self or Others)
5	16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2762(b) of the Code in that
6	Respondent used alcohol to an extent as to be dangerous to herself or others, as set forth in
7	paragraphs 11 through 14, above, which are incorporated herein by reference.
.8	<u>PRAYER</u>
9	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
10	and that following the hearing, the Board of Registered Nursing issue a decision:
11	1. Revoking or suspending Registered Nurse License Number 416659, issued to Kim R.
12	Hansen, also known as Kim Rachel Hansen;
13	2. Ordering Kim R. Hansen also known as Kim Rachel Hansen to pay the Board of
14	Registered Nursing the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case,
15	pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
16	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
17	
18	
19	notified South
20	DATED: 10/1/10 Julie J. Dailey LOUISE R. BAILEY, M.ED., RN
21	Interim Executive Officer  Board of Registered Nursing  Depositment of Consumer Affairs
22	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
23	Complainant
24	SD2010702369
2,5	70348547.doc
26	
27	
28	